

2002 07/4
IGSL

LOS ANGELES COUNTY
ECONOMY AND EFFICIENCY COMMISSION

ROOM 163, HALL OF ADMINISTRATION / 500 WEST TEMPLE / LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012 / 974-1491

February 10, 1976

INSTITUTE OF GOVERNMENTAL
STUDIES LIBRARY

APR 30 1992

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Honorable Board of Supervisors
Los Angeles County
383, Hall of Administration
Los Angeles, Calif. 90012

Gentlemen:

SUBJECT: PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENT TO REMOVE DEPARTMENT
HEADS AND CHIEF DEPUTIES FROM CIVIL SERVICE STATUS

Dr. Robert J. Downey
Chairman

Gustave R. Anaya
George E. Bodie
Catherine Graeffe Burke
John D. Byork
Anne S. Collins
Mario Di Giovanni
Dr. Carolyn L. Ellner
Jerry Epstein
Milton G. Gordon
Richard S. Gunther
Mrs. Mary Jane Kidd
Leo A. Majich
Jesse L. Robinson
Robert Ruchti, II
Dr. Warren H. Schmidt
George Shellenberger
Mrs. Gloria Starr
Larkin Teasley
Bryan Walker

Burke Roche
Executive Secretary
John Campbell
Staff Specialist
Maxlynn Larsen
Commission Secretary

Among the charter amendments which the Board of Supervisors is scheduled to discuss on February 19 is the proposal by Supervisor Schabarum to remove department heads and chief deputies from Civil Service status. The objective of this proposal is to make these officials accountable to the Board of Supervisors for their performance and to enable the Board to discipline or remove them if their performance does not measure up to acceptable standards.

We agree with this objective. As we have stated in previous reports, the present Civil Service system is overly rigid and overly protective. Rather than promote merit, it tends to protect mediocrity. Under the present system it is virtually impossible to discharge a department head except for gross incompetence, malfeasance, or immorality.

Civil Service systems were developed to protect public employment against spoils and other forms of injustice resulting from improper political

REPORT ON THE PROGRESS OF THE WORK

During the year 1931-1932

The work of the year 1931-1932 has been characterized by a steady and continuous progress in all the various branches of the study. The results of the work have been of a high order of excellence and have contributed to the advancement of the science in which we are engaged.

The first part of the work has been devoted to the study of the general principles of the subject. This has been done by a careful examination of the literature on the subject and by a series of experiments which have been designed to test the validity of the various theories which have been advanced.

The second part of the work has been devoted to the study of the special principles of the subject. This has been done by a careful examination of the literature on the subject and by a series of experiments which have been designed to test the validity of the various theories which have been advanced.

The third part of the work has been devoted to the study of the practical applications of the subject. This has been done by a careful examination of the literature on the subject and by a series of experiments which have been designed to test the validity of the various theories which have been advanced.

The fourth part of the work has been devoted to the study of the historical development of the subject. This has been done by a careful examination of the literature on the subject and by a series of experiments which have been designed to test the validity of the various theories which have been advanced.

The fifth part of the work has been devoted to the study of the future of the subject. This has been done by a careful examination of the literature on the subject and by a series of experiments which have been designed to test the validity of the various theories which have been advanced.

The work of the year 1931-1932 has been of a high order of excellence and has contributed to the advancement of the science in which we are engaged. The results of the work have been of a high order of excellence and have contributed to the advancement of the science in which we are engaged.

pressure. Unfortunately, in the zeal to protect employees from such injustices, these systems have tended to become as much anti-management as anti-spoils.

For these reasons, in our 1970 report proposing a major reorganization of County government, we recommended that department heads and chief deputies be removed from the classified service. At the same time, however, we prescribed safeguards designed to insure that County officials would be selected on the basis of merit and would be disciplined or removed only for proper cause.


We recommended, therefore, that the selection process require open, competitive examinations supervised and administered by the Civil Service Commission. We also recommended that, if dismissed, County executives would have the right to meet with the Board of Supervisors in executive session, or in a public session if they requested it, to consider and discuss the reasons for their dismissal. In addition, the appointing authority would be required to prepare a written report setting forth the reasons for dismissal. This report would be made public.

We believe that unless these or similar procedures are incorporated in the amendment changing the status of department heads and chief deputies the County runs the risk of replacing the present system, which is too protective and inflexible, with one which provides no protection whatsoever. We do not share the view that there is no longer any need today for protection against spoils or other forms of political pressure.

Honorable Board of Supervisors
February 10, 1976
Page 3

For these reasons, the commission, on January 28, voted unanimously to oppose any amendment which removes department heads and chief deputies from Civil Service status unless it also includes effective safeguards against political influence.

Very truly yours,


ROBERT J. DOWNEY
Chairman

RJD:m1



C101694874

University Board of Supervisors
February 10, 1975
Page 2

For these reasons, the Commission, on January 29, 1975, recommended
to the Board that the Board should not approve the proposed
new Civil Service rules unless it also approves the proposed
new Civil Service rules.

Very truly yours,
[Signature]
Chairman, Commission

cc: [illegible]